



Sh Madan Lal,  
MCB Zone-2, H No-10803,  
Street NO-18, Parinda Road 18,  
Guru Teg Bahadur Nagar, Bathinda.

**... Appellant**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,  
O/o Child Development Project Officer,  
Gidderbaha, KachehariComplex,  
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.**

**First Appellate Authority,  
DC,  
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.**

**...Respondent**

**Appeal Case No. 2950 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Shri Madan Lal Appellant  
Shri Shamsher Singh, Senior Assistant for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 27.03.2021, has sought information on 05 points regarding the enquiry report dated 10.11.2017 alongwith other documents – documents received from the court of Senior division Judicial Magistrate, Gidderbaha in connection with the enquiry report a – copy of receipt register – a copy of enquiry report sent to Hon'ble court – a copy of dispatch register and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Child Development Project Officer, Gidderbaha. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of PIO dated 20.04.2021, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

As per the appellant, information except point No.1 of the RTI application has been received. The respondent pleaded that the remaining information containing five pages regarding diary/dispatch copy, copy of the letter sent to court and copy of rules/instructions for appointing Child Development Officer as Protection Officer, has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 05.01.2022.

I have gone through the record available in the case file and found that the PIO has supplied the information to the appellant as per the RTI application.

In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. The case is disposed of and closed.

**Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission**



Sh.Kamalpreet Singh  
# 134, Basant City,  
Tharika, P.O.Lalton Kalan,  
Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Civil Surgeon  
Bathinda.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No.442 of 2021**

**PRESENT : None for the appellant**  
**Dr. Minakshi Singla, PIO and Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Clerk**  
**Appeal Case No.442 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Kamalpreet Singh as the appellant**  
**Dr.Meenakshi PIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through the RTI application dated 12.10.2020, has sought information regarding exact names of Pathology, Microbiology, Histopathology or any other tests conducted by the laboratory of the Health department Bathinda since 2010-11 in which chemicals namely potassium iodide, N-Hexane, carbon Tetra Chloride are used – a copy of the stock position on 12.10.2020 from 2010-11 of all chemicals and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 25.11.2020 which did not decide on the appeal. After filing the appeal, the PIO sent information on 01.12.2020 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed a 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case was first heard on 16.08.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Bathinda. As per the respondent, the information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 01.12.2020.

The appellant is not satisfied with the supplied information.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to sort out the discrepancies and provide whatever information is available on the record with a forwarding letter that the information that has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available.

The case was postponed on 27.12.2021 to 12.01.2022 and on 12.01.2022 to 12.07.2022.

**Hearing dated : 12.07.2022**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Bathinda.

**Appeal Case No.442 of 2021**

As per the respondent, the information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 08.11.2021.

The appellant is absent. However, an e.mail has been received in the Commission vide which he has intimated that he has received the information vide letter No.1261 dated 08.11.2021, and he is satisfied with the supplied information. He has further requested that his case may be closed.

The information stands supplied and no further cause of action is left. **The case is disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission



Sh Pankaj Kumar, S/o Sh Nabh Singh,  
MCB Zone-6, H NO-3225, Gali NO-1-B,  
Surkhpeer Road, Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,  
Civil Surgeon,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3020 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Shri Sanjeev Goyal, representative for the Appellant**  
**Dr. Meenakshi and Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Clerk, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 12.02.2021 has sought information on 10 points regarding the total number of corona positive cases, the number of patients that recovered, death cases from 01.10.2020 – rules/regulations for grant of compensation to the dependents of the deceased - log books of all ambulances of civil hospital Bathinda from 30.08.2018 to 12.09.2019 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing of the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 07.04.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. Dr. Meenakshi Singla pleaded that the available information has already been supplied to the appellant.

As per the representative of the appellant, the information supplied by the respondent is incomplete.

The RTI application has been discussed at length during the hearing and the respondent is directed as under :-

- Point-1 & 2 As per the respondent, all the information is available on the govt. website. No action is required.
- Point-3 Information not to be provided.
- Point-4 The PIO to provide a copy of the attendance register of drivers only.
- Point-5 PIO to provide a list of all the free tests that are done
- Point-6 PIO to provide the total number of postmortems done.
- Point-7, 8 & 9 PIO to provide the available information
- Point-10 To provide charges, if any, of the sheet in which a dead body is wrapped

With the above orders, the case is **disposed of and closed**.



Sh Sanjeev Goyal S/o Sh Ashok Kumar,  
# 148, Midel Town, Phase-1,  
Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Bathinda.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 3021 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Shri Sanjeev Goyal, the Appellant**  
**Dr. Meenakshi and Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Clerk, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.02.2021 has sought information on 11 points regarding copy of complaint dated 18.07.2020 - complaints received from other officers/offices against private blood banks - and action taken report on these complaints, copy of letter dated 27.07.20 received in the O/o Civil Surgeon Bathinda – rules/regulation for getting marked from higher officer all the dak received - total number of blood unites donated from 04.03.2020 to date and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing of the first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant on 16.04.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. Dr. Meenakshi Singla, pleaded that available information had been supplied to the appellant vide their letter dated 06.01.2022.

As per the appellant, the information supplied by the respondent is incomplete.

The RTI application has been discussed at length during the hearing and the appellant is advised to inspect the relevant record on 13.07.2022 at 1.00AM. The PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant as sought in the RTI application.

With the above orders, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commission**



**Sh Hakam Singh, S/o Sh Darshan Singh,**  
R/o Madhir, Tehsil Gidderbaha,  
**Dsitt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.**

**... Complainant**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o Director,**  
**Health and Family Welfare,**  
**Sector-34, Chandigarh.**

**...Respondent**

**Complaint Case No. 711 of 2021**

**PRESENT:     None for the Appellant**  
**Shri Satish Kumar, Superintendent, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 15.03.2021 has sought information regarding the merit list of the persons recruited as Radiographers against an advertisement dated Aug.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 07.06.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Muktsar Sahib.

The respondent pleaded that information had been provided to the complainant vide letter dated 28.05.2021.

The complainant is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the case file, the Commission finds that the RTI application was filed when the Covid-19 pandemic was at its peak. The PIO supplied the information on 28.05.2021 within a reasonable time given the prevailing circumstances during Covid-19. The complainant might have received the information, however, if he is not satisfied with the same, he may file his appeal with First Appellate Authority by attaching this order.

With the above orders, **the case is disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commission**



**Sh Pawan Kumar Goyal,  
# 206, Grain Market,  
Raman.**

**... Complainant**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Office,  
UNGRAIN, Bathinda.**

**...Respondent**

**Complaint Case No. 877 of 2021**

**PRESENT:     None for the Appellant  
                     Shri Gagandeep Sharma, APIO, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 20.02.2021, has sought information regarding a copy of the rent deed for the hiring of godown form P.G-96 executed between Pawan Kumar Goyal and Pushpa Rani Goyal Raman and Punjab State Grains Procurement Corporation during the financial year 2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District office PUNGRAIN Bathinda. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 06.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda.

The respondent pleaded that after receipt of RTI in their office on 25.02.2021, the complainant had taken the copy of the rent deed of godown from their office within 10-15 days. The complainant was asked to acknowledge the receipt, which he agreed to give before leaving the office premises, but he did not. The respondent also pleaded that information containing 16 pages was also supplied to the appellant by registered post vide their letter dated 31.12.2021. The complainant was further contacted over his mobile phone, where he had confirmed receiving the information.

The complainant is absent nor represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observes that the RTI application has been sufficiently attended to and the information has been supplied to the complainant. The Commission is not inclined to interfere further in the matter. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission**



**Sh Pawan Kumar Sharma,  
# 585, Phase-2, Mohali.**

**Appellant**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,  
O/o Secretary,  
Deptt of Printing and Stationary,  
Pb, Secretariat-2, Chandigarh.**

**First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Secretary,  
Deptt of Printing and Stationary,  
Pb, Secretariat-2, Chandigarh.**

**...Respondent**

**Appeal Case No. 2936 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Advocate Pawan K Sharma, Appellant  
Shri Subodh Kumar, Clerk, for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 19.03.2021, has sought information on 06 points regarding duties and financial powers of Secretary to Govt of Punjab, Department of Printing & Stationery, regarding the printing of Govt diary on behalf of Pb Govt. – system and procedure to delegate the powers to DIPR and further to Dy Director Research & Reference to take a decision not to print the officers' mail ID – instructions authorising Punjab Vidhan Sabha to print the officer mail IDs in Govt. annual diary and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Secretary Department of Printing & Stationery, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.04.2021, which did not decide on the appeal. After filing of the appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 01.06.2021, to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. As per the appellant, no information has been supplied by the respondent.

As per the respondent, available information has been supplied by the concerned department vide letter dated 06.07.2021. He also pleaded that information of point 3 relates to Punjab Vidhan Sabha, for which the appellant is advised to get the information from Punjab Vidhan Sabha.

Hearing both the parties, the respondent is directed to reconcile the RTI and supply the duly certified information to the appellant with a copy to Commission. However, for information related to Punjab Vidhan Sabha, the appellant is advised to file a fresh RTI application, which the appellant has agreed to.

With the above orders, the case is disposed of and closed.

**Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission**





Sh Roshan Lal,  
Village Tamloh, P.O Gharana,  
Tehsil Dheera, Distt Kangra,  
Himachal Pradesh.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Director,  
Health and Family Welfare,  
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 890 of 2021**

**PRESENT:** None for the Appellant  
Ms. Aruna, Pharmacy Officer and Sh. Toshwinder Singh, Superintendent  
for the Respondent

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 08.03.2021, has sought information regarding not taking any action on the medical bills sent by the Civil Surgeon on 07.12.2020 and other information from the office of Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 09.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today.

The respondent pleaded that sought information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 20.04.2021.

The appellant is absent nor represented. However, an email dated 24.12.2021 has been received from the appellant stating that he has received the information and he is satisfied with the same. He has further requested that his case may be filed.

Having gone through the records in the case file, the Commission finds that the PIO has provided the information to the appellant as per his RTI application, and the appellant has acknowledged the receipt of the same.

As no further cause of action is left, and the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022

Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission



**Smt Amrita Singh,**  
**# 598, Sunny Enclave,**  
**Sector-125, Kharar,**  
**Distt Mohali.**

**... Complainant**

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer,**  
**O/o Director, Social Security,**  
**Women and Child Development,**  
**Pb, Sector-34, Chandigarh.**

**...Respondent**

**Complaint Case No. 871 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Smt. Amrita Singh, Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant, through an RTI application dated 15.04.2021 has sought i an enquiry report on the complaint filed against Smt. Amrita Singh District Programme Officer (Retd) filed by Dhruv Enterprises Sangrur and M/s Florid Pintu & pack(P)Ltd. Patiala - a copy of the complaint – order of the Hon'ble Minister, Chief Secretary and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Director Social Security Women and Child Development, pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 01.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today in Commission's office at Chandigarh.

As per the complainant, the information has not been supplied by the respondent.

The PIO is absent nor represented. However, a copy of a letter dated 27.01.2022 intimating that information received from Superintendent (Amla-1) vide letter dated 24.01.2022 has been provided to the complainant.

Having gone through the available records in the case file, the Commission observes that the RTI application has been attended to by the respondent.

This is a complaint case. Therefore, at this juncture, it is relevant to invite the attention of the complainant to the Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Mos.10788 of 2012 (arising out of SLP © No.32768/2010 un para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

**Complaint Case No. 871 of 2021**

An alternative and efficacious remedy of the first appeal is available to the complainant under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case. The complainant is advised to approach the first appellate authority in this behalf.

I am marking this case to First Appellate Authority along with a copy of the RTI application to treat this case as a first appeal and decide the case within 45 days.

**The case is disposed.**

**Chandigarh  
Dated : 12.07.2022**

**Sd/-  
(Khushwant Singh)  
State Information Commission**

**Copy by Regd. Post to :**

**First Appellate Authority-cum-  
Joint Secretary,  
Department of Social Security of  
Women and Children, Punjab  
Room No.706, 07<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Punjab Mini Secretariat,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh**